Recounts and Audits in Seven 2024 Swing States

Visit Verified Voting's Audit Law Database and Recount Law Database to learn even more about a state's laws, timelines, regulations, and procedures.



State	Automatic recount?	Requested recounts?	Deadlines for requests ¹	Completion deadline ²	Recount counting method	
Arizona	Yes, if vote margin less than 0.5%	No	N/A	No completion deadline in state law	Mix of hand counting and re-running the ballots through the voting machines	
Georgia	No	A candidate may request a recount when there is a vote margin of 0.5% or less	November 26 - within 2 business days after the certification of results	No completion deadline in state law	Re-running the ballots through the voting machines. A hand count of a sample of ballots is required before ballots are rescanned.	
Michigan	Yes, when the vote margin is 2,000 votes or less	Any defeated candidate may apply for a recount	November 27- within 48 hours of the state canvass of votes	30 days after the deadline for filing counter petitions or the first day recounts may begin	Re-running the ballots through the voting machines and/or by hand counting. The method is chosen by election officials.	
Nevada	No	Any defeated candidate may apply for a recount	November 20 - within 3 business days after the certification of results	Within 10 days of the request	Re-running the ballots through the voting machines, and re-running the electronic records from touchscreen (DRE) devices	
North Carolina	No ³	Any defeated candidate may apply for a recount if the specified close vote margin is met for their contest ⁴	November 19 - by noon on the second business day after the county canvass	No completion deadline in state law	Re-running the ballots through the voting machines and hand counting only for ballots that cannot be retabulated	
Pennsylvania	Yes, if vote margin less than or equal to 0.5%	No candidate-requested recounts, but voters may request a recount for an office	Varies by county, and is 5 days after a county completes its vote computation	For automatic recounts, by November 26. For voter-initiated recounts, no set deadline.	Hand counting and re-running the ballots through the voting machines	
Wisconsin	No	A vote margin of less than or equal to 0.25% entitles a candidate to a taxpayer-funded recount. A vote margin greater than 0.25% but less than 1% entitles a candidate to a paid recount.	At the latest, by November 20 ⁵	Not more than 13 days after the order directing the recount	Hand counting and re-running the ballots through voting machines. The method is chosen by election officials.	

- The listed recount request deadlines relate to statewide contests. In some states, the deadline to request a recount of a county-level contest may differ from the one listed in the table.
- Note there are federal and constitutional time constraints for the selection of a state's presidential electors.
- If election officials discover substantial error while conducting a requested recount, statute requires a subsequent automatic recount.
- For contests under the jurisdiction of the State Board of Elections, the specified close vote margin for a nonstatewide contest is 1% of the total votes cast, or in the case of a multi-candidate contest, 1% of the votes cast for those two candidates; for a statewide contest, the close vote margin is the lesser of 0.5% of the votes cast or 10,000 votes
- If all counties submit their canvass reports earlier than required, the recount petition deadline might be earlier. Also note that this is the recount petition filing deadline for the presidential contest; for other contests, candidates have two additional business days to file a recount petition.











State	Audit type	Contests audited	Completed before results finalized?	Can the audit expand to a full hand count?	Is the audit binding on the outcome? ⁶	Audit counting method
Arizona	2% of precincts or two precincts (whichever is greater), and 1% of early votes or 5,000 early ballots (whichever is less)	Up to five races, including the presidential contest	Yes	Not statewide. Only to a full hand count in a county.	Binding only if it results in a full hand count	Hand count
Georgia	Risk-limiting audit ⁷ (RLA)	Contest at the top of the ballot and one randomly selected federal or statewide contest	Yes	Yes	No guidance on whether the audit is binding	Hand count
Michigan ⁸	Randomly selected precincts for county level auditing and additional precincts for auditing of statewide contests; RLA pilots ⁹	Countywide and statewide contests	No	No statutory guidance	No	Hand count
Nevada ¹⁰	VVPATs from 2% of voting machines or at least 20 devices, whichever is greater; for smaller counties, 3% or at least four devices, whichever is greater, and an RLA	VVPAT: All contests RLA: One randomly selected statewide contest and countywide contest	VVPAT: Yes RLA: No statutory guidance	VVPAT: No RLA: No statutory guidance	No guidance on whether either audit is binding	VVPAT: Hand count or by rescanning ballots RLA: Hand count¹
North Carolina	Hand-to-eye manual counts of two samples ¹² in each county	Presidential contest in presidential election years	Yes	Yes	Yes	Hand count
Pennsylvania ¹³	2% of ballots or 2,000 ballots (whichever is less) and an RLA	2%: All contests are audited RLA: At least one statewide contest	Both: Yes	2%: No guidance RLA: Yes	No guidance on whether either audit is binding	2%: By rescanning ballots or by hand RLA: Hand count
Wisconsin	10% of reporting units statewide (the number of reporting units per municipality is capped depending on the municipality's size)	Presidential contest and three other contests	No statutory deadline ¹⁴	No	No	Hand count

- An audit is binding when the results of the audit become the official results.
- A risk-limiting audit (RLA) manually examines a sample of paper ballots to provide statistical confidence that an incorrect election outcome is not certified.
- Michigan's audit statute authorizes but does not require a post-election audit.
- Administrative pilots which are conducted after the election results are finalized. Nevada is phasing in RLAs while maintaining its longstanding post-election audit of VVPATs, resulting in two separate auditing requirements.
- The VVPAT audit exclusively checks votes cast in person on direct recording equipment (DRE) machines; Nevada became a universal mail balloting state in 2022.
- Nevada conducts ballot comparison RLAs which entail the manual examination of individual ballots to verify machine counts, rather than manually counting the votes for a set of ballots.
- In practice, a sample might be an Election Day precinct, an early voting site, or all of the county's absentee ballots.
- Like Nevada, Pennsylvania has two auditing requirements: a statutorily required 2% or 2,000 ballot audit and an RLA, which is mandated by a Department of State directive.
- In practice, prior to state certification on December 1, though audit timing could shift later if any statewide contests are eligible for a recount.







