



20 YEARS

ANNUAL REPORT

2024

VerifiedVoting

Contents

3	20 Years Defending Democracy: Our Work Continues
4	A Note from Verified Voting’s Board Chair & CEO
6	Team Snapshot & By the Numbers 2024
8	Improving How Election Outcomes Are Checked
9	Advocating for Secure & Verifiable Elections
10	Protecting Voting Rights & Expanding Partnerships
12	Leveraging Facts & Data as a Trusted, Nonpartisan Resource
17	Financials
18	Thanking Our Donors

20 Years Defending Democracy

Our Work Continues

In 2024, Verified Voting celebrated 20 years of strengthening democracy by promoting the responsible use of technology in elections. As a trusted leader in election security, we provide direct support to election officials across the country, ensuring voters can cast their ballots with confidence.

Our expertise—rooted in a deep understanding of election technology, administration, and voter access—has never been more critical. While significant progress has been made in expanding access to the ballot and ensuring those votes can be verifiably counted as cast, challenges remain. We continue to side with voters, opposing policies that masquerade as security measures but instead, erode voting rights.

As we look ahead, our work has never been more important. Alongside our partners, we are deepening our engagement in critical states, advocating for policies that help ensure results are auditable and verifiable, and we continue to leverage our education and outreach efforts. In a time of heightened misinformation, we remain steadfast in pushing back against false narratives that undermine public trust.

Every voter deserves justified confidence in elections. That’s why we’re committed to strengthening checks and balances—especially post-election audits—to safeguard democracy. As we work toward the 2026 midterms, we invite you to join us in this fight. Your support makes secure and resilient elections possible.



A Note from

Verified Voting's Board Chair & CEO

Friends and supporters,

Thank you for helping us celebrate our 20th year in 2024; you are essential to this work. Now more than ever it's critically important that we make our elections more secure and resilient to address evolving threats to our democracy. We are dedicated to safeguarding the integrity of our elections, protecting voters' access and privacy, and supporting election officials in safeguarding our democracy.

Our position in the pro-democracy field at the intersection of voting rights and election technology makes us uniquely qualified to address public misunderstandings about elections stemming from mis- and dis-information.

Barbara Simons
Board Chair



Our advocacy against unsecurable voting practices that also threaten the right to a secret ballot is more urgent than ever in the face of an alarming rise in anti-democratic rhetoric and policy. We're building on our success holding the line against the expansion of internet voting, and working with strategic partners to promote safer alternatives.

Our advancement of rigorous post-election ballot audits to check the computers (scanners) that count our votes is fundamental to making our elections accurate and secure. We're all in for audits.

Our public education that enables voters and policymakers to understand key safeguards for election systems—and to defend those safeguards through informed advocacy when needed—is vital. Messaging research last year gave invaluable insights to election officials on how strategic communication about audits affects trust in election outcomes. We're doubling down to reclaim the narrative about election security and what is needed to ensure voters can be confident in election results, no matter who wins.

Our growing collection of meticulous research is foundational—not only to our work but to other pro-democracy advocates who rely on it. Our data tools give all our voices a sure footing in times of dangerously “post-truth” public discourse. We're committed to maintaining and continually improving tools like the Verifier Maps, Voting Equipment Database, and Audit and Recount Law Databases to ensure vital election-related information remains publicly available.

Our co-leadership and investment in the (300+ member) Election Protection coalition reflects our commitment to voters and to leveraging our organization's unique skillset and resources to advance our vision: that all voters are able to cast an effective ballot without barriers; that voters can have justified confidence that their votes were counted as cast; and that ultimately, foundational democratic principles are upheld.

While public attention and controversy around elections have exponentially increased in recent years, we know this is a marathon and not a sprint. We've been steadily working at the ongoing project of strengthening democracy for all voters for 20 years and we're not slowing down.

Thank you for partnering with us on this journey—our democracy is worth it.

BS
Pamela W. Smith

Pamela Smith
President & CEO





“My experience as an election official is at the heart of everything I do at Verified Voting. I fully embrace our mission to strengthen democracy for all voters, and I believe the best way to achieve that is by supporting those who administer elections. Having been in their position, I understand the complexities they face and the dedication required to ensure secure, accessible, and trustworthy elections.”

Jessica King | Senior Policy Associate

Team Snapshot

Verified Voting added two new team members in 2024.

“The Verifier is an incredible tool for the public to learn about their county. Not only that, but it can be incredibly helpful for training election workers. A challenge is many people don’t think of their election office as a resource and more like a place where people who put on elections work. Making the Verifier more widely known by both election officials and the public would be awesome.”

Lamontae Shively | Research & Outreach Associate



By the Numbers 2024

Celebrated that nearly **95%** of jurisdictions used paper ballots or records in 2024, compared to just 71% in 2016

Provided direct support to election officials on risk-limiting audits in **4** states

Conducted **8** focus groups in key swing states to gain insight into voter confidence and trust in election outcomes

697 donors supported our cause in 2024, including **76** new supporters

Reached new audiences with a record **3,444** media hits

Streamlined internal operations using **2** new platforms



Trained and matched **28** "e-geek" support volunteers to state partners for the Election Protection Hotline, which fielded over **50,000** calls from voters



Broadened our outreach with **1** new social media channel

Cohosted **1** Election Protection coalition-wide retreat and gave **13** tailored talks about voting equipment

Gained **8.5 million** social media impressions on a digital campaign encouraging voters in Georgia, Nevada, and Philadelphia County to **#CheckYourBallot**

Welcomed **150** supporters to our 20th anniversary celebration in San Francisco


Surveyed **20** local election officials to gain their insights on effectively conducting and communicating about election audits

Pushed back on inherently risky internet voting proposals in **6** states



Improving How Election Outcomes Are Checked


Sound election audits check the initial election outcome and can give the public justified confidence in election results.




Direct support and guidance on the implementation of risk-limiting audits (RLAs)

Built knowledge and resources for election officials using existing audit laws to support future engagement


Engaged advocates, legislators, and policymakers on audit design



Senior Policy Associate Jessica King, Policy & Strategy Director Mark Lindeman, and Senior Policy Associate Saige Draeger were on-site at Rhode Island's RLA in November.



When Election Day ended, Jessica King traveled to Wisconsin to observe election officials' post-election processes.



The team shows supporters the basics of a RLA during a mock election in San Francisco.

Advocating for Secure & Verifiable Elections

In an age of increasing cybersecurity threats, we countered the spread of inherently risky internet voting and promoted safer alternatives with victories in Maryland, Michigan, Virginia, Washington, and Wisconsin.

- Provided expert testimony on proposed legislation seeking to introduce or expand electronic ballot return
- Produced research-driven resources to inform the public
- Conducted public education efforts through outreach to election officials, legislators, and local media
- Partnered with accessibility advocates in our outreach and advocacy to address the challenges of balancing accessibility with privacy and security



C.JAY COLES | DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS, TELLS MICHIGAN'S MIRS MONDAY PODCAST:

“[Voting] needs to meet both the threshold of accessibility & security. We can't sacrifice one for the other.”



Protecting Voting Rights & Expanding Partnerships

The national, nonpartisan Election Protection coalition works year-round to ensure that all voters have an equal opportunity to vote and have that vote count. Made up of more than 300 local, state, and national partners—including Verified Voting as part of the eight-member Steering Committee—Election Protection uses a wide range of tools and activities to protect, advance, and defend the right to vote.


- Election Protection took over 50,000 calls from voters in the 2024 election season, including over 16,000 calls on Election Day.
- Under the co-leadership of Deputy Director of Research & Partnerships Megan Maier, Verified Voting and our partners helped build the largest, best-trained and resourced team of e-Geeks (election technology experts on call to troubleshoot any technology issues that threaten to disenfranchise voters)
- The e-Geeks responded to over 1,100 hotline trouble tickets through the election season, including nearly 800 on Election Day.
- The Communications Working Group, under our co-leadership, ran a robust operation throughout the election period, providing resources, toolkits in multiple languages, a messaging guide, earned media opportunities, press briefings, and a paid digital campaign.

Stateline

Stateline's coverage of an Election Protection Press Briefing (October 23, 2024)



The “firehose” of disinformation is working as intended, said Pamela Smith, president and CEO of Verified Voting, a nonpartisan group that advocates for responsible use of technology in elections. **“This issue is designed to sow general distrust,” she said. “Your best trusted source is not your friend’s cousin’s uncle that you saw on Twitter. It’s your local election official. Don’t repeat it. Check it instead.”**



Communications Director Corrie Emerson and Deputy Director of Research & Partnerships Megan Maier share Election Protection resources to state-based organizations during a virtual event.

President & CEO Pamela Smith continues to serve on the National Task Force on Election Crises, a diverse, cross-partisan group of more than 50 experts whose mission is to use its expertise to help civil society and decision-makers prevent, prepare for, and mitigate a range of election crises. The only electoral outcomes the Task Force advocates for are free, fair, and safe elections in the United States.



The team engaged with partners in many spaces, including the Election Community Network (ECN), the Secure Our Vote Coalition, the Election Verification Network (EVN), the A.I. + Election Security Coalition, and the Election Communications Hub (TECH), a forum for high-tempo coordination on communications to combat mis- and disinformation about elections.



Verified Voting team members at the March 2024 EVN Conference.



Leveraging Facts & Data as a Trusted, Nonpartisan Resource

In 2024, we bolstered public understanding of our elections with data-driven public education materials, leveraging our research, data, and our team's expertise.

Recounts and Audits in Seven 2024 Swing States

Visit Verified Voting's Audit Law Database and Recount Law Database to learn more about a state's laws, timelines, regulations, and procedures.


Recounts

State	Automatic recount?	Requested recount?	Deadlines for requests?	Completion deadline?	Recount counting method?
Arizona	Yes, if vote margin is less than 0.5%.	No	N/A	No completion deadline in statute.	Use of hand counting and reviewing the ballots through the voting machines.
Georgia	No	A candidate may request a recount when there is a vote margin of 0.5% or less.	November 20: within 3 business days after the certification of results.	No completion deadline in statute law.	Recounting the ballots through the voting machines. A hand count of a sample of ballots is conducted before the final count.
Michigan	Yes, when the vote margin is 1-2,000 votes or less.	Any defeated candidate may apply for a recount.	November 22: 30 days after the election.	30 days after the election.	Recounting the ballots through the voting machines and/or by hand.
North Carolina	No	Any defeated candidate may apply for a recount.	November 20: within 10 days of the request.	Within 10 days of the request.	Recounting the ballots through the voting machines, and reviewing the electronic records from the machines (DREs).
North Carolina	No ¹	Any defeated candidate may apply for a recount if the specified date.	November 20: within 10 days of the request.	No completion deadline in statute law.	Recounting the ballots through the voting machines and hand counting.
Pennsylvania	Yes, if vote margin is less than or equal to 0.5%.	No candidate requested a recount, but voters may request a recount for an office.	Varies by county.	For automatic recounts, by November 26; for voter-requested recounts, no set deadline.	Hand counting and reviewing the ballots through the voting machines. The method chosen by election officials.
Wisconsin	No	A vote margin of less than or equal to 0.5% entitles a candidate to a recount.	At the latest, by November 27.	Not more than 10 days after the election.	Hand counting and reviewing the ballots through the voting machines. The method chosen by election officials.

1. This final recount request deadline is in statute. In some states, the deadline to request a recount of a county-level contest may differ from the deadline in the table.
2. New York has a recount and audit process for legislative contests. In the event of a recount, the deadline to request a recount is 10 days after the election.
3. Election officials have discretion over whether to conduct a requested recount. States require a subsequent election to resolve the dispute.
4. Some states allow the candidate to request a recount. In these states, the deadline to request a recount is 10 days after the election. In some states, the deadline to request a recount is 10 days after the election. In some states, the deadline to request a recount is 10 days after the election.
5. All of these states have a law that requires a recount. The reason for this is that the law is in the state's constitution. The reason for this is that the law is in the state's constitution. The reason for this is that the law is in the state's constitution.

Verified Voting | verifiedvoting.org | press@verifiedvoting.org | 1000 Chestnut St, #200 Philadelphia, PA 19102

Our resources helped voters, candidates, advocates, election officials, legislators, and the media understand how post-election processes work.



2024

Launched a newly adopted and revamped Recount Law Database to complement our Audit Law Database—offering a state-by-state look at the important rules and laws governing these processes ahead of the general election.

Election night is not results night, and that's ok!

Learn more in this video from our team.

Verified Voting



Expanded, refined, and improved data tools like our popular Verifier and Voting Equipment Database.

Voters can also learn about the specific machines and voting processes at their polling site ahead of the election. Verified Voting's "Verifier" database provides a breakdown of the type of voting equipment used in each county.

Mashable, October 26, 2024

Our team explains why election night is not results night and how election officials need time to verify and confirm the results from every valid ballot cast. Watch the video [here](#). For even more, [read our blog!](#)



Verified Voting had **3,444** media hits and **24.2 billion** impressions in 2024, ranging from national outlets to local coverage.



"Twenty years ago, Verified Voting was founded to get rid of paperless (voting machines)," Lindeman said. "And now we've just about made it. We're wondering why everybody isn't happier. We've done this incredible thing together as a country."

"Elections are resilient," Smith said. But she added: "What will it take to get belief in the trustworthiness of elections to a point where it's true for all of us, all the time? And maybe that is a lofty goal, but it's worth having."

Stateline

"We tend to think of driving as safe not because nothing bad ever happens in automobiles, but because we know how to manage that risk. And when people think about election technology in the same way, we will all be better off," said Mark Lindeman, the policy and strategy director at Verified Voting, a group that promotes responsible use of technology in elections.



"What makes the 2024 election fundamentally even more secure than the 2020 election is that almost everyone is voting on paper," [Mark Lindeman] said. "It doesn't mean that nothing can go wrong. It means that even if things go wrong, the problems can be corrected."



POLITICO

"Flip the claim that there's 'no evidence of widespread fraud.' We have evidence of sound elections," said Pamela Smith, president of the nonpartisan Verified Voting, which promotes the responsible use of technology in elections.



Social Media Snapshot

Knowledge is Power!

Especially when it comes to voting. In order to make change, you have to make sure your vote is cast your way. Check that your paper ballot correctly reflects your choices before turning it in!

Verified Voting



12,453

Instagram
Users Reached
2024



39,487

Facebook
Users Reached
2024



12,453

LinkedIn
Impressions
2024



39,487

Twitter/X
impressions
2024

Verified Voting's social media strategy included practical ways that voters could protect their own votes, which were shared by our partners and allies.



LEVERAGING FACTS AND DATA AS A TRUSTED, NONPARTISAN RESOURCE

12



LEVERAGING FACTS AND DATA AS A TRUSTED, NONPARTISAN RESOURCE

13

SHAPSHOT | Messaging Research Study Led by Verified Voting

*Support for this project was provided by the Election Trust Initiative. The views expressed herein are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Election Trust Initiative.

Bridging the knowledge gap:

How effective communication about election audits can strengthen voter confidence



EIGHT FOCUS GROUPS

of likely voters conducted virtually in January 2024 in AZ, GA, PA, & WI (two groups per state by party ID)

Introduction

Disinformation about our elections remains a persistent threat to our democratic systems. As part of our collective work to reach voters with accurate information about our elections, Verified Voting led a comprehensive study in 2024 to inform strategies for communicating about secure and trustworthy elections in 2024 and beyond. The research is among the first to focus on election audits, exploring both public perceptions and the perspectives of election officials about a type of audit called a risk-limiting audit (RLA).



QUALITATIVE BOARD

25 local election officials (including 16 who fully completed the board) over a two-week period in mid-March



19-minute survey among 800 likely 2024 general election voters nationwide (March 4-7, 2024)

Methodology

QUANTITATIVE SURVEY

Key Findings

- 1 Voters are **confident** about the **election systems** in their own states, but have little to no knowledge about how they work
- 2 Election audits can be positioned as a **proven way** to affirm and ensure election outcomes—which **increases confidence**
- 3 Upon learning about risk-limiting audits (RLAs), **voters are broadly supportive across political ideologies**, with notable positive shifts for Republican and Independent voters
- 4 **Voters respond affirmatively** to simple, positive language about election processes
- 5 **Election officials want voters to turn to them for information**, and there is room for outside organizations to collaborate with—and provide resources for—election officials

Election officials feel a strong calling to continue the work they do, are passionate about free and fair elections, and are defiant against what they perceive to be attacks on elections by outsiders. **Their big wish: That the public knew anything about audits.** They exist! They have a purpose—they validate the vote count. They are observable!



Communications Director Corrie Emerson shared the messaging findings during a panel at March's Election Verification Network Conference.



Exploring Messaging Tactics

VIEW OUR EXPLAINER VIDEO AT [VERIFIEDVOTING.ORG/VIDEOS](https://verifiedvoting.org/videos)

Messaging Recommendations

- Keep it simple—avoid jargon
- Use clear, concise language
- Frame your message positively
- Stick to the facts and avoid speculation
- Consider your messenger
- Emphasize bipartisanship and transparency of processes
- Center election officials

Risk-Limiting Audit (RLA) Messaging Guide for Election Officials

While each jurisdiction is unique and there is no one-size-fits-all model for risk-limiting audits, Verified Voting developed these messaging recommendations informed by findings from a research study conducted by Lake Research Partners. The study included eight focus groups in key swing states (Arizona, Georgia, Pennsylvania, and Wisconsin) and a survey of 800 likely 2024 general election voters nationwide in March 2024. The study also included a qualitative online board of local election officials nationwide to determine the needs of local election officials to effectively communicate to constituents about audits. More materials from the research study, including a recorded webinar, are available at <https://verifiedvoting.org/audits/whatsrla/>.

GENERAL PUBLIC COMMUNICATIONS

Research shows that everyday voters have no knowledge about risk-limiting audits, but when they learn more using the following guidance, they are broadly supportive of audits. This guidance can be applied to many other election processes, including other types of post-election audits.

- Guidance:
- > Use **simple, clear language** and avoid statistics, acronyms, or technical terms—audit and math terms are not generally understood and can confuse voters
 - > Lead with a **simple description** or definition before introducing the name "risk-limiting audit" or other terminology
 - > Use **positive words** when describing audits, like "checks" and "protections" on election results (avoid negative words like "hacks," "errors," and "wrong results")
 - > Highlight that risk-limiting audits are a **routine part** of the checks and balances that go into ensuring the vote count is accurate
 - > Emphasize bipartisan aspects of the process, notably that bipartisan teams conduct the audit and/or that **bipartisan** observers are present
 - > Center people conducting the audit as **folks who live and work in your community** and are dedicated to ensuring the vote count is accurate
 - > Highlight **transparency** and **observability** of the audit process

The Verified Voting team shared the findings with partners and election officials throughout the election season via webinars and in-person opportunities

SNAPSHOT | #CheckYourBallot

In October, Verified Voting invested in a #CheckYourBallot initiative, using targeted social media on Meta (Facebook and Instagram) to mobilize VOTERS to protect their votes.

Check It Before You Cast It, Philly!

1. Mark

2. Check

3. Cast

The easiest way to make sure your vote counts is to check your paper ballot before submitting it.

Need help? Ask a poll worker.

VerifiedVoting

Paid for by Verified Voting Foundation. Not authorized by any candidate or candidate's committee.

The campaign targeted voters in Georgia, Nevada, and Philadelphia County, large jurisdictions that primarily use touchscreens to mark paper ballots.

The ads made nearly 8.5 million impressions, with the highest engagement among Georgia voters.

Check It Before You Cast It, Georgia!

1. Mark

2. Check

3. Cast

The easiest way to make sure your vote counts is to check your paper ballot before submitting it.

Need help? Ask a poll worker.

VerifiedVoting

Paid for by Verified Voting Foundation. Not authorized by any candidate or candidate's committee.

Check It Before You Cast It, Nevada!

The easiest way to make sure your vote counts is to check your paper ballot before casting it — it's the official record of your vote.

Need help? Ask a poll worker.

VerifiedVoting

Paid for by Verified Voting Foundation. Not authorized by any candidate or candidate's committee.

The click-through rate increased in the waning days of the election, indicating that voters were interested in the information Verified Voting could provide to them.

Checking your ballot for errors is peachy!

Hey Georgians, election season is here! Be sure to double-check your paper ballot before you turn it in — it's the official record of your vote.

VerifiedVoting

Paid for by Verified Voting Foundation. Not authorized by any candidate or candidate's committee.

Financials

Verified Voting Foundation 501(c)(3) Revenue 2024

Major Donor Gifts	\$1,983,783	65%
Foundations & Institutions	\$931,050	30%
Investment Income	\$80,898	3%
Individual Donors (<\$1,000)	\$72,967	2%
Total	\$3,068,698	100%

Verified Voting Foundation 501(c)(3) Expenses 2024

Policy, Education & Outreach	\$783,087	26%
Data & Research	\$498,650	16%
Audits	\$438,926	14%
Special Event - 20th Anniversary	\$356,878	12%
Development	\$302,998	10%
Organizational Development	\$257,376	9%
General Program Management	\$176,734	6%
Advocacy	\$129,214	4%
Management & General	\$71,403	2%
**Diversity, Equity, Inclusion, & Belonging	\$18,819	1%
Total	\$3,034,085	100%

*Verified Voting Foundation conducts work in accordance with 501(c)(3) requirements, and Verified Voting Organization conducts work in accordance with 501(c)(4) requirements.
**This work focuses on efforts to continue to develop an open and thriving organizational culture, and to continue to expand accessibility initiatives in our programmatic work.

2024 was a record-setting year for Verified Voting. We raised over \$3.5 million in revenue for both entities*. Verified Voting Foundation, our 501(c)(3), raised over \$3.0 million and Verified Voting Organization, our 501(c)(4), raised over \$514,000. This enabled us to scale our programmatic impact during a presidential election year, and positioned us to sustain that impact into 2025 and beyond. For us, it's always election season. Non-presidential election years are our best opportunity to advance larger-scale changes in policy and practice critical to our impact.

Verified Voting Organization 501(c)(4) Revenue 2024

Foundations & Institutions	\$449,150	87%
Major Donor Gifts	\$60,000	12%
Individual Contributions	\$5,466	1%
Total	\$514,616	100%

Verified Voting Organization 501(c)(4) Expenses 2024

Lobbying	\$193,678	45%
Voter Enfranchisement & Technology	\$166,937	39%
Verifying Election Outcomes	\$49,625	11%
Development, Management & General	\$23,104	5%
Total	\$433,344	100%

Thanking Our Donors

We are deeply grateful to the individual donors and foundation partners whose generosity powers everything we do at Verified Voting. This honor roll recognizes all those who supported our mission with a gift during our 2024 fiscal year (January 1 – December 31, 2024). Thank you for showing up for our democracy.

\$500,000 and above

Heising-Simons Family Fund
Anonymous Donor

\$200,000 - \$499,000

Barbara Simons
Election Trust Initiative
Pinus Pinea Fund

\$100,000 - \$199,000

Deer Creek Foundation
The Jacob and Hilda Blaustein
Foundation
Tides Foundation
Women Donors Network
Anonymous Donor

\$50,000 - \$99,999

The Schmidt Family Foundation
Anonymous Donor

\$25,000 - \$49,999

Jim Bidzos
Quinn Delaney & Wayne Jordan
FJC - A Foundation of
Philanthropic Funds
The Grace and Laurance
Hoagland Fund
Carla Itzkowich
Ron Rivest
The Streisand Foundation
WhyNot Initiative
Anonymous Donor

\$10,000 - \$24,999

Bryce Fund
David & Pam Dill
The Gratitude in Motion Fund
Greene Rosenblum Charitable
Fund
#HalfMyDAF
Donna Hall
The Martin & Dorothie
Hellman Family Fund
Michael & Paula Rantz
Foundation
Eileen Segall
Poorvi Vora
VotingWorks
Susan Yost
Anonymous Donors

\$5,000 - \$9,999

Anne Bartley
Bruce Schneier Fund
Correct Horse Battery Staple
Giving Fund
Ann Doerr
Imagine Fund
LARK Family Fund
Margery & Robert Loeb Family
Charitable Fund
The San Francisco Foundation
Sandor & Faye Straus
The Vijay R. Sanghvi Family
Foundation
Anonymous Donor

\$1,000 - \$4,999

Babbie Ian Jacobs Community
Investing Fund
Kathleen Barry & Bob Burnett
Louis Bluestein
G C
Allegra Chapman
Clark Charitable Foundation
Elizabeth Levine Charitable
Fund
Jim & Kenna Fenton
Fenwick Community
Foundation
Chandra Friese
Elaine Hahn
Victoria Hall
Mark Halvorson & Lisa Nilles
Pam Harting
Jennifer Braun and Raymond
J. Ryan Charitable Gift Fund
Douglas W. & Beverly Jones
Julia Kagiwada
Kaplan-Lipson Family Fund
Richard Kayne
Robert & Michele Kibrick
Kurtz Family Fund
Richard Leder & Cherrill
Spencer
Joyce Leslie
LMAC Foundation, Inc
Markell Family Charitable
Fund
Lawrence Markosian &
Deborah Baldwin

Mary Moss Greenebaum
Advised Fund
Neal McBurnett
Melvin Miller
Holly Mosher
Amy Pearl
Barbara & Morris Pearl
Mary & Steve Puckett
Catherine Raphael

\$1,000 - \$4,999

Eric Rescorla
Paul & Lauren Scott
Kevin Shelley
Oliver Smoot
David Sobel & Beth
Critchley
Anonymous Donors

<\$1,000

Naomi Aberly
Adams/Llewelyn Family Fund
Alan Frankel Giving Fund
Kathryn Albee
Aimee Allaud
Anders Johnson & Jennifer
Kretschmer Charitable Fund
Florence & Peter Ariessohn
Arlene Baker
Kathryn Barnhart
Gail Barton
Nina Barwell
Jane & Marvin Baxter
Paul Becker
Steven Bergsieker
Eric Bidstrup
John Bischoff
Robert Bishop & Bonnie Korman
Linda & Lawrence Black
Stephen Bloch
The Bosch-Tsai Family Fund
Harvie Branscomb
Elizabeth Brent

Derek Britz
Peter Broadwell
Bruce & Lynn Holbein Fund
Chris Bystroff
Susan Cameron
Lynn Caporale
Wayne Cassidy
Mark Clemens
Elena Cohen
Hillel Cohen
Cindy Cohn & Patrick Ball
Anthony Collins
Judith Conoyer
Peggy Curchack
Alan & Mary-Lou Davis
Michael Davitiashvili
Rachel Dellon
Allegra & Laurence Dengler
Larry Diamond
Nona Donahue
Peter Drekmeier
Richard Duke
Jeremy Epstein
David Ernst & Ariana Ivan
The Eusey & Deal Fund
Pat Evans
Theresa Evans
Bill Felling
Gary Ferdman
Edward Ferrer
Alan Fiedler
Michael Fischer
Fleisher Agustin Family
Giving Fund
Luke Fletcher
Joan Forman
Bill Frantz
Linda Freedman
Eleanor Friedman
Patricia Friel
Deborah Fygenson
Joan Garvin
Al Giles
John Gill
Barbara Glassman


John Glenn
Golden Gate Giving Fund
Sherry & Tommy Gong
Gwen Goodkin
Google
John Graham
David Groisser
Grant & Birgit Grundler
Dan & Carrie Gusfield
Paul Hanau
Musad Haque &
Mariska van Delft
Victor Harrison
HBR Spivack Fund
William Hein
Karen Herman
Brian Herod
Douglas Holt
Polly Howells
Stacie Hrabe
Arthur Hu
Mike & Sheila Humphreys
Christine Hunter
Irene Greif and Albert Meyer
Family Fund
Andy Johnson
Glenn Jones
James Jones
Michele & Burt Kaliski
Steve Kelem
George David Kerlick
Mha Khalsa & Martha
Oaklander
Robert & Michele Kibrick
Joseph Kirk
Bill Kornfeld
Betty & Arthur Kowaloff
Cristina Landa
Michael & Susan Landau
Brittany Ledford
Anne Lednicer
Matthaeus Leitner
Lenovo
David & Leslie Leonard
Thomas Lipkis



Christopher Lish
Tanya Loh
Daniel Lopresti
Lillian Luksenburg
Russell Mabardy & Barbara Cox
Melvin Mackey
The Maia Ginsburg &
Andrew Appel Fund
Chris Marceille
Thomas Marlowe
Jeff Martin
Toni Mayer
Ann McGrath
Dale McGrew
Peter McKnight
Evan McLain
Microsoft Corporation
Marcia Migdal
Keith Mitchell
Elizabeth Moore
Sanford Morganstein
Marjorie Murray
Kate Narveson
Brent Nave
Lewis Okun
Margaret Okuzumi &
Bruce Hahne
Gary Oleson
Nancy Patterson

Laurie Pfohl
Joseph Piette
William & Dolores Pollock
Mark Poons
Dee & Mel Raff
Katherine Randolph
Avi Rappoport
Eugene Richman
Bruce Robinson
Ken Rose
Marsha Rosenbaum &
Ethan Nadelmann
Susan Roth
Betsy Rubenstein
Michelle Ruscetta
Ruth A Shapiro & Michael K
Gallagher Charitable Fund
Joshua Salesin
Lawrence Sapadin
Patricia & John Savage
Ellen Schwartz
Olga Serhiyevich
Ben Shneiderman
Evie Shockley
Patricia Silver
Samuel Silver
Carole Simmons
Kevin Skoglund
Alice Smith

John Smith
Richard Sonnenfeld
Sydney Spiesel
Standard Insurance Company
Ian Stearns
Steven Stoft
Paul Stokes
Carolyn Summers
Susan Orkin Fund
Kurt Svendsen
Michelle Swenson & Stan Drobac
Valerie Toler
Turo Dexter’s Charitable Fund
David Tweed
Michael Ubell & Paula Hawthorn
Louis Venech
Sarah & Thomas Vilms
Nick Wagner
Debby Wang
Craig Weiser
Kent Werth
Bonnie Willdorf
Katharine Wolpe
Katherine Woodruff
Taed Wynnell
Fang Yang
Ellie Yearns
Anonymous Donors



It’s important to us to honor the wishes of our supporters in all instances of public recognition.
If we made any errors or you would like to request an update on how your support is
recognized, please reach out to us at Development@verifiedvoting.org.